

10/473, 400

-FW

April 30th, 2007 United States Patient Trade Office Alexandria, Va Attn: Gary Chin, Examiner

Dear Mr. Chin,

I am returning our reply to your notice dated 2/8/2007, rejecting our application. We have addressed the issues you raised with our claims, and have answered them in detail, explaining why we feel our claims are unique, and our method and process used in our tracking device is new and not duplicating any one else's work in this field. We request you review our details made in our response, and trust you will agree with our findings—we have used some of the best engineering manpower available in this field to develop this system, and we feel sure that this is unique, proprietary, and our work alone, and is deserving of patient protection from the United States Patient Office.

Please contact us if we can provide you any further information you may need to complete the granting of out request for patient coverage of our device and system.

Very Truly Yours,

Kent Pearce

10018 Combie Rd,

Auburn, CA, 95602

PS_Please take note that our mail contact address has now been officially recognized by the USPTO as changed to our new location. Kindly address all future mail to us at this location, in order to save time, and not be delayed in receiving your notices, as happened with this notice we are responding to. It cost us 9 days of response time in the period we had to reply to you, and is the reason we were delayed in our reply.